

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

WALTER E. HARRIS,

Plaintiff,

Hon. Gordon J. Quist

v.

Case No. 1:09-cv-00673

SHELLEY A. STASSON, et al.,

Defendants.

_____ /

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Plaintiff has initiated the present action against Shelley A. Stasson (also referred to in the Complaint as Shelley Staason) and Patrick Goresh (Dkt. 1). The Court has granted Plaintiff's motion to proceed as a pauper in this matter (Dkt. 4). Having granted Plaintiff's motion to proceed as a pauper, the Court has conducted an initial review of the complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2) to determine whether it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. Having conducted this initial review, the Court concludes that Plaintiff's complaint must be dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6), a claim may be dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted where, even accepting as true Plaintiff's allegations and construing the complaint liberally in Plaintiff's favor, it appears "beyond doubt that the plaintiff can prove no set of facts in support of his claim which would entitle him to relief." *Herron v. Harrison*, 203 F.3d 410, 414 (6th Cir. 2000) (quoting *Estelle v. Gamble*, 429 U.S. 97, 106 (1976)). In

his complaint, Plaintiff makes some broad statements about the two Defendants and several other individuals regarding his former employment and an earlier lawsuit. The Complaint as stated asserts no facts regarding actions of the defendants which, if proven, would entitle Plaintiff to relief under any theory. Accordingly, the undersigned recommends that this action be dismissed.

Respectfully Submitted,

Date: August 14, 2009

/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
ELLEN S. CARMODY
United States Magistrate Judge

OBJECTIONS to this Report and Recommendation must be filed with the Clerk of Court within ten (10) days of the date of service of this notice. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Failure to file objections within the specified time waives the right to appeal the District Court's order. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 155 (1985); *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947, 949-50 (6th Cir. 1981).